

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : <b>G01N 33/558</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 97/06439</b> (43) International Publication Date: 20 February 1997 (20.02.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/12866 (22) International Filing Date: 9 August 1996 (09.08.96)		(81) Designated States: AU, BR, CA, CU, IL, JP, KR, MX, NZ, UA, Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(30) Priority Data: 60/002,777 9 August 1995 (09.08.95) US		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(71) Applicant: QUIDEL CORPORATION [US/US]; 10165 McKellar Court, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).			
(72) Inventors: PRONOVEST, Allan, D.; 12864 Salmon River Road, San Diego, CA 92129 (US). BOEHRINGER, Hans; 17937 Myrica Lane, San Diego, CA 92127 (US). HSU, Ya- Chen; 510 Oakmead Parkway, San Diego, CA 94086 (US).			
(74) Agents: ADLER, Reid, G. et al.; Morrison & Foerster L.L.P., 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20006- 1888 (US).			

(54) Title: TEST STRIP AND METHOD FOR ONE STEP LATERAL FLOW ASSAY

(57) Abstract

The efficiency and accuracy of one-step lateral flow assays can be improved by employing more efficient binding between participants in labeling and capture. Thus, in addition to analyte/anti-analyte interactions, specific binding is achieved through members of an irrelevant specific binding pair. Also included within the invention is a format wherein unlabeled competitor for analyte serves as a gatekeeper in the capture zone, competing with analyte for labeled anti-analyte, which analyte will be captured in a detecting portion of a capture zone.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Larvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

- 1 -

## TEST STRIP AND METHOD FOR ONE STEP LATERAL FLOW ASSAY

### Technical Field

5        The invention is in the field of immunoassays. More specifically, it relates to one-step lateral flow assays wherein an analyte is applied to a test strip in a sample zone and detected in a capture zone. The invention provides means for improving the speed and accuracy of  
10      such assays.

### Background Art

One-step nonbibulous lateral flow assays are described in WO92/12428 published 23 July 1992. In the  
15      exemplified version of these assays, a sample containing analyte is applied to a sample zone in a nonbibulous matrix which permits nonchromatographic flow of solution components. The analyte travels through the labeling zone containing antibody to analyte coupled with visible  
20      label. The analyte picks up labeled antibody and the sample flows, thence, to a capture zone where the complex of analyte and labeled anti-analyte is captured and detected in a sandwich using an additional anti-analyte component adsorbed in the capture zone on a "test bar".  
25      A control bar in the capture zone contains biotinylated rabbit  $\gamma$ -globulin which captures label coupled to avidin. This control label travels along with the sample into the capture zone. The background section of WO92/12428 describes and cites additional patent publications  
30      relating to other formats for lateral flow assays.

In addition, PCT Application WO94/01775 published 20 January 1994 describes similar one-step lateral flow assay procedures and devices wherein the anti-analyte in the labeling zone is coupled to a visible label in a

- 2 -

particular way. Antibody to analyte is coupled to an enzyme that converts a substrate to a colored-dye, which dye then complexes with the enzyme/anti-analyte to provide a visible label. Again, the analyte is detected 5 in a capture zone as a sandwich using a different antibody specifically reactive with analyte on the test bar.

The efficiency and accuracy of the self-contained, lateral flow, double-antibody sandwich immunoassays 10 described in these PCT Applications depends not only on the ability of the label to be released readily from the labeling zone with sufficient rapidity upon contact with the sample, but also on the speed of binding of labeled anti-analyte to any analyte contained in the sample and 15 of binding of analyte to the anti-analyte contained in the capture zone.

The general principle of such assays has also been applied to antibody detection where at least one anti-analyte may be an antigen. In this case, the sandwich 20 contains a specific antiglobulin for the antibody to be detected, and a labeled form of the antigen for this antibody. Competitive formats for these assays have also been devised. In all of these formats, the rate of interaction of the components is significant.

25 The interaction of labeled anti-analyte and analyte must take place within 30 seconds or less and capture must occur rapidly as well. In the event that the labeled anti-analyte interaction with analyte and the capture of the resulting complex in the capture zone do 30 not occur within the required times, which is often the case, a more efficient system for labeling and capture needs to be used. The present invention provides such efficient labeling and capture systems by supplementing the anti-analyte/analyte interactions with other, more

- 3 -

efficient, specific binding pair interactions, such as the interaction of biotin and avidin or streptavidin.

Biotin/streptavidin interactions have been utilized in other ways in immunoassay procedures for some time.

5 For example, in U.S. 5,126,241, streptavidin adsorbed to solid support is used to bind biotinylated antigen in a procedure which involves incubation to form a complex in which the analyte to be determined competes with label and solid support for access to an antibody capable of 10 binding all three. U.S. 4,496,654 describes an assay for human chorionic gonadotropin conducted by binding biotinylated antibody to an avidin-coupled paper disk, reacting the antibody on a disk with a solution suspected of containing hCG, and then determining the amount of hCG 15 on the disk using standard determination techniques.

This assay results in a sandwich of hCG formed from anti-hCG bound to solid support through biotin/avidin linkage and labeled anti-hCG. This assay does not involve a lateral flow of sample.

20 U.S. 5,001,049 describes a method for determining antibodies against human HIV which involves incubating streptavidin-derivatized solid support with a biotinylated peptide reactive with anti-HIV, and then detecting any bound antibody with labeled antibody 25 receptor. Again, lateral flow does not take place in these assays. RE 34,132, which is a reissue of Patent Number 4,945,042, describes a direct assay for an antibody wherein the antibody to be determined serves as a link between a labeled epitope and an epitope bound to 30 substrate through a streptavidin/biotin link. Again, speed of reaction is not critical, since a lateral flow format is not required.

- 4 -

Disclosure of the Invention

The invention provides means to improve the efficiency of one-step lateral flow assays by expediting labeling and/or capture reactions that result in the 5 detection of analyte, and by a novel strategy for the design of competition protocols. In general, in some of these assays, the analyte is detected as a labeled sandwich containing the analyte captured in a detection zone. In the improved methods of the invention as 10 applied to these assays, a member of a specific binding pair with a specificity irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction is coupled the label, to a mobile anti-analyte, to the "capture" anti-analyte in the nondetecting portion of the capture zone, or to a 15 competitor to the analyte in the sample-receiving zone and is used to effect binding of label to analyte-containing complex or of complex to solid support.

Thus, in one aspect, the invention is directed to a method to determine the presence, absence or amount of an 20 analyte in a sample in a one-step lateral flow assay conducted on a test strip. The test strip has a sample-receiving zone, an optional labeling zone, and a capture zone. The method comprises applying the sample to the sample-receiving zone, allowing the sample to proceed 25 through the labeling zone, if present, to the capture zone and assessing the capture zone for the presence, absence or amount of label in the capture zone. The analyte complexed or coupled to the label, or a labeled competitor of the analyte, is typically captured in a 30 detection bar in the capture zone.

In a direct assay, the analyte is captured as a sandwich comprising two anti-analytes, one of said anti-analytes is bound to the detection bar and the other anti-analyte is bound or coupled to a label. At least

- 5 -

one of said label and the solid matrix in said detection bar is bound to an anti-analyte through members of a specific binding pair irrelevant to the specificity of the analyte/anti-analyte interaction.

5 In one competitive format, labeled competitor is added to the sample before the sample is applied to the sample-receiving zone, or is itself contained in the sample-receiving zone where labeled competitor competes for anti-analyte coupled to a member of the irrelevant 10 specific binding pair, and the resulting complexes are carried to the detection zone where labeled competitor is detected; the amount of the labeled competitor in the detection zone is inversely proportional to the amount of analyte in the sample. In this format, of course, no 15 labeling zone may be necessary.

In additional improvements included within the scope of the invention, unlabeled competitor is used in the assay. In one approach, the competitor for analyte is coupled to a member of a specific binding pair irrelevant 20 to the analyte/anti-analyte interaction, which member permits the competitor to be captured in the detection zone by its counterpart. The competitor bearing the specific binding pair member is typically supplied in the sample-receiving zone or is mixed with the sample prior 25 to application to the sample-receiving zone. The coupled competitor and the analyte then compete for labeled anti-analyte in the labeling zone; only the competitor (bearing the labeled anti-analyte) is captured in the detection zone; analyte bound to label is lost. Thus, 30 again, the amount of label detected is inversely proportional to the concentration of analyte.

In still another embodiment of the competitive format, unlabeled analyte or analogous competitor behaves as a gatekeeper to prevent analyte carrying label into

- 6 -

the detection zone. The competitor is contained in a portion of the capture zone proximal to the sample receiving zone. Binding in the detection zone may be either through anti-analyte adsorbed thereto, or through 5 a member of a specific binding pair with specificity irrelevant to the analyte/anti-analyte interaction as described above.

In additional aspects, the invention is related to test strips for the performance of the method of the 10 invention. Such test strips contain a sample-receiving zone, an optional labeling zone, and a capture zone, wherein at least one of said zones contains a substance that includes one member of a nonanalyte-related specific binding pair, and another zone contains the counterpart 15 member of said nonanalyte related specific binding pair.

In embodiments wherein a competitor for anti-analyte behaves as a gatekeeper to the detecting portion of a capture zone, the invention is related to test strips having a sample-receiving zone, a labeling zone and a 20 capture zone wherein the capture zone is divided into at least two portions; a gatekeeper portion and a detecting portion wherein said gatekeeping portion is located on the test strip between the labeling zone and the detecting portion, i.e., proximal to the sample-receiving zone. The labeling zone of said test strips contains a 25 label coupled to anti-analyte, which anti-analyte is optionally coupled to one member of a specific binding pair with specificity irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction. The detecting zone contains a second anti- 30 analyte or a counterpart binding pair member as appropriate.

In still another aspect, the invention relates to complexes which comprise analyte sandwiched between two anti-analyte components, at least one of said anti-

- 7 -

analyte components being coupled to label or to a support matrix through counterpart members of a specific binding pair unrelated to analyte.

5 A Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 shows a typical test strip useful in the method of the invention containing a sample-receiving zone, a labeling zone, and a capture/detection zone.

10 Figures 2A-2H are diagrammatic representations of specific embodiments of the invention.

Figure 3 is a graphical representation of the results of an assay according to the method of invention for FSH.

15 Modes of Carrying Out the Invention

The assays for which the methods and materials of the invention are almost useful are those which depend on speed of interaction as the sample travels through a test strip, which strip can be described as a "support matrix", "solid support", and the like. In general, these are lateral flow devices, where a sample containing an analyte is permitted to traverse the test strip and is detected by capture of a detectable moiety in a specified detection zone.

25 Many devices of this type have been described. A particularly useful device is described in WO92/12428, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference. Briefly, the device contains a sample-receiving zone, a labeling zone, and a capture zone (or 30 detection zone). The zones are constructed separately and placed into liquid communication; the capture or detection zone is abutted to an absorbent which enhances the flow of sample through the strip. The strip is prepared in separate sections so that the conditions for

- 8 -

associating the appropriate moiety with the solid matrix support can be regulated. The label in the labeling zone must be capable of being mobilized to flow with the sample when attached to analyte; the capturing agent must 5 remain in the detection zone.

Thus, in a typical construction, the sample-receiving zone will be constructed from a support matrix that is normally nonbibulous, such as the inert support marketed as Porex™ or will be constructed of a substrate 10 with moderate bibulous nature such as spun nylon, which will then be pre-treated with a blocking agent to confer nonbibulous characteristics. Suitable blocking agents include, for example, methylated BSA. The labeling zone also must permit free flow of sample and mobilization of 15 the label when the sample flows through. Therefore, the labeling zone will be pre-blocked, if necessary, and lyophilized, if necessary, to permit the label to flow freely. Similar treatment will be accorded the sample-receiving zone if it contains, as it does in the 20 embodiments preferred herein, a reagent which must be mobilized after binding to analyte. The capture zone will generally be a support which is capable of strong adsorptive capacity so that the capturing agent is not liberated from the capture zone when the sample traverses 25 it. Thus, in general, the capture reagent is first adsorbed to the capture zone and subsequent to the adsorption, blocking is provided to assure smooth liquid flow.

In typical embodiments, the capturing agent for the 30 analyte will be included only in a portion of the capture zone -- i.e., for example, a "test bar" or "detection bar" which will be visible or otherwise detectable when analyte is present. The test bar can be of any desired shape; a simple line is often convenient, although for

- 9 -

aesthetic reasons, sometimes a plus sign (+) is used. Limiting the capturing agent for the analyte to only a portion of the capture zone permits space to provide a control wherein, in another portion of the capture zone a 5 "control bar" is constructed containing a capturing agent that is irrelevant to the analyte but designed to capture label traversing the capture zone from the labeling zone. For example, the control bar might include an antibody to 10 a ligand different from analyte which ligand is coupled to label and mixed with label intended for analyte in the labeling zone.

In certain embodiments of the invention, it is mandatory for the capture zone to be divided into at least two portions. This is the case, in particular, 15 where a competitive form of the analyte serves as a "gatekeeper" in a portion of the detecting zone. In these embodiments, label will generally appear in both sections of the detecting zone, and it is mandatory clearly to distinguish between that containing the 20 gatekeeper (symbolized in the figures as D<sup>1</sup>) and that containing the test compound (D<sup>2</sup>). Of course, these formats can also be designed to contain a "control bar" as described above, but it should not be necessary in this case since the portion occupied by the gatekeeper 25 can reasonably serve that function.

If, according to the method of the invention, a specific binding pair comprising small molecules such as biotin/streptavidin is used as a capture mechanism, the biotin or preferably the streptavidin, will be adsorbed 30 to the test bar or control bar after complexing the streptavidin with irrelevant antibody or other protein to improve retention characteristics. Thus, as illustrated below, avidin or streptavidin is best retained in the capture zone when first complexed with  $\gamma$ -globulin or BSA.

- 10 -

It will be noted that in the embodiment of the invention wherein a competitor to the analyte serves as a gatekeeper in a portion of the capture zone, it is not always necessary to employ the specific binding pair with 5 specificity irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction.

Other formats and methods of construction may, of course, also be used. For example, other test strips contain support matrixes that are continuous, and the 10 various zones are obtained by treating different regions along the strip with a different reagents. Supports which permit the relatively free flow of sample and its components are preferred -- i.e., supports which do not effect chromatographic separations of sample components. 15 However, there is no theoretical reason that the techniques of the invention could not be applied to supports which have some adsorptive capacity, such as paper. The methods and devices of the invention are suitable for test strips in general, whatever their 20 supporting material, so long as they contain, in liquid communication, a sample-receiving zone, an optional labeling zone, and a detection zone. The labeling zone must be present when a direct detection of analyte is desired using a sandwich-type complex. A labeling zone 25 is unnecessary when a label is added directly to the sample as a competitor for a capture agent to the analyte.

The formats of the assays can also vary. The assay may be direct -- i.e., the analyte may be labeled with 30 one specific anti-analyte component and captured by another, or it may be competitive -- e.g., the analyte may compete with a labeled competitor for capture in the detecting zone. In other examples of a competitive-type assay, the competitor of the analyte need not be labeled.

- 11 -

For instance, the competitor may still compete with analyte for label while the competitor, alone, is coupled to a member of a specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction wherein the counterpart 5 member of the pair is adsorbed to the detection zone. In other embodiments, the competitor acts as a gatekeeper in a portion of the capture zone preceding the capture reagent; this competitor captures some of the label and prevents it from entering the formal detecting portion of 10 the capture zone.

This format offers the possibility to preset a detection level for the analyte by regulating the amount of competitor (labeled A' in the drawings) in the gatekeeping portion. By elevating the level of A', a 15 higher analyte concentration will be required to be detectable in the detecting zone. The strip could also be modified so that varying levels of A' are included in the gatekeeper zone across the bias of the strip so that the proportion of the width of the strip that gives a 20 detectable reading in the detecting portion can be assessed as a measure of analyte concentration.

The analyte may be any substance, and may itself be an antibody or a fragment of an antibody.

In general, the specificity of the assay for a 25 desired analyte is conferred by the presence of at least one anti-analyte component. By "anti-analyte" is meant any substance which binds comparatively more strongly to the analyte than to any other components likely to be contained in the sample. Typical anti-analytes include 30 antibodies and immunologically reactive fragments thereof, such as  $F_{ab}$ ,  $F_{ab}'$  and  $F_{(ab_2)'$  fragments, or generically-engineered fragments, such as Fv fragments. Other anti-analyte interactions are provided by receptor/ligand interactions, for example. Any substance

- 12 -

which binds specifically to analyte, to the relative exclusion of other components is classified as an "anti-analyte" herein.

In the competition format of the invention, the 5 competitor of the analyte can either be labeled or unlabeled depending on the protocol. By "competitor of analyte" is meant any substance which competes with the analyte for binding to anti-analyte. Typically, the competitor is simply the analyte itself either modified 10 by providing it with a label or with a member of a specific binding pair to distinguish it from analyte per se, or analyte itself positioned at the gatekeeping portion of a test strip on which the assays are conducted.

15 In the description below, "coupled" is generally used when covalent bonding is intended, "bound" includes noncovalent interactions, as well. The nature of the interaction, however, will generally be clear from the context.

20 In one format that forms the basis for the improvement of the invention, the analyte is labeled by an anti-analyte coupled to label and captured by an anti-analyte which is itself is bound to at least a portion of the capture zone. The amount of label determined in the 25 capture zone is then directly proportional to the amount of analyte in the sample. In a different format, the analyte may compete with an already labeled competitor for the capture anti-analyte which is ultimately bound to the capture zone. In this embodiment, no labeling zone 30 is required.

The detection of the analyte in the "test bar" (or of the control in the control bar) which are in the capture zone is achieved by methods appropriate to the label. In the most convenient and preferred formulation

- 13 -

of the assay, a visible label is used. Visible labels include colored latex beads, various metal sols, complexed dye-conjugates, and the like. Under these circumstances, the results can be detected visually and 5 the test is greatly simplified. However, there is no inherent reason other forms of labeling could not be used, including radioactive isotopes, fluorescent labels, and enzyme labels. In these cases, additional steps directed towards detection may be required, for example, 10 to detect an enzyme label, it would be necessary to supply substrate to measure the enzyme activity. A broad range of labeling possibilities is available and known to practitioners in the art.

In the improved formats of the invention, either the 15 binding of label to anti-analyte or binding of anti-analyte to the capture zone is effected through counterpart members of a specific binding pair irrelevant to the interaction of the analyte with anti-analyte. Typical such specific binding pairs might include 20 biotin/avidin, biotin/streptavidin, antibody/antigen combinations other than analyte/anti-analyte and receptor/ligand combinations other than analyte/anti-analyte. Such specific combinations include rhodamine/anti-rhodamine; FITC/anti-FITC; DNP/anti-DNP; 25 and mouse IgG/anti-mouse IgG.

The specific binding pair member can be coupled to anti-analyte or to label using standard covalent binding techniques generally known in the art. The component may be linked directly, or more typically, through spacer 30 arms or linkers including, for example, commercially available homofunctional or heterofunctional linkers. Such methods of coupling are standard in the art and can be optimized for a particular choices, nonanalyte related specific binding pair members ( $m_n$ ) and for particular

- 14 -

anti-analytes. Although anti-analytes have been symbolized in Figures 2A-2H as antibodies, as explained above, this need not be the case. Indeed, this notation is used simply to make clear which component is the anti-analyte component without the necessity for elaborate systems of symbolism. It is again emphasized that the "Y" antibody symbol simply represents, in this context, an anti-analyte compound. This could be a receptor for a ligand which constitutes the analyte, a ligand for a receptor that constitutes the analyte, an antigen for an antibody that constitutes the analyte, and the like. Any components specifically binding to anti-analyte will suffice.

Typical arrangements are shown diagrammatically in Figures 2A-2H.

In Figure 2A, a direct assay format is depicted. The sample-receiving zone contains a first anti-analyte coupled to a member of an alternative specific binding pair,  $m_1$ . The labelling zone contains a second anti-analyte coupled to the label where L denotes the detectable label; the capture zone contains the counterpart to  $m_1$ , which is denoted  $m_2$ . When analyte flows through the test strip, it picks up anti-analyte/ $m_1$  from the sample-receiving zone and labeled anti-analyte from the labeling zone and travels as a sandwich complex to the capture zone, wherein  $m_1$  binds  $m_2$  to retain the complex. The final complex will then be: support/ $m_2/m_1/$ anti-analyte<sub>1</sub>/analyte/labeled anti-analyte<sub>2</sub>. In this format, the interaction of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  expedites the capture of the sandwich in the detection zone.

In Figure 2B, another direct assay is depicted. The sample-receiving zone again contains a first anti-analyte coupled to  $m_1$ , label coupled to  $m_2$  is in the labeling zone; a second anti-analyte is adsorbed to the capture

- 15 -

zone in a "test bar". As analyte travels through the test strip, it picks up anti-analyte/ $m_1$  from the sample-receiving zone;  $m_1$  binds  $m_2$  coupled to label in the labeling zone, and the analyte sandwich (the analyte/anti-analyte/ $m_1/m_2$ /label complex), travels to the capture zone to obtain the complex bound to support: support/anti-analyte<sub>2</sub>/analyte/anti-analyte<sub>1</sub>/ $m_1/m_2$ /label. In this format, the  $m_1/m_2$  interaction assists in the interaction between label and the anti-analyte-bound analyte.

These two complementary binding methods are both utilized in the direct assay as shown in Figure 2C wherein  $m_1/m_2$  interaction binds the  $m_1$  coupled first anti-analyte (now containing analyte) to the label in the labeling zone and this complex (analyte/anti-analyte<sub>1</sub>/ $m_1/m_2$ /label is picked up by a second anti-analyte, coupled to  $m_3$  in a portion of the capture zone, and the entire complex is finally captured by  $m_4$  and bound to  $m_3$  in the detecting portion of the capture zone as the complex: support/ $m_4/m_3$ /anti-analyte<sub>2</sub>/analyte/anti-analyte<sub>1</sub>/ $m_1/m_2$ /label.

Figure 2D shows a competitive format wherein a labeled competitor (A') competes with analyte for binding to anti-analyte/ $m_1$ . The resulting complexes are carried to the detection zone and captured by  $m_1/m_2$  binding. The level of labeled competitor in the capture zone is inversely proportional to analyte. The labeled competitor may be supplied in the sample-receiving zone as shown in Figure 2E or it may be mixed with the analyte prior to supplying the analyte to the sample-receiving zone as shown here. In either case, a labeling zone is unnecessary. The interaction of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , in this illustration, assists the capture of the anti-analyte,

- 16 -

containing either A or A' in the detecting portion or a test bar in the capture zone.

Figure 2E shows the alternative form of the assay in Figure 2D where the A' is supplied in the sample-receiving zone. In this embodiment, where A' already resides in the test strip, the distinction between the sample-receiving zone and the labeling zone is, of course, blurred; the A' could be considered to be present in the sample-receiving zone *per se* or in a "labeling zone" not necessarily 10 separate from it.

Figure 2F shows an alternative competitive assay where the competitor for the analyte, designated A' in this figure, is not labeled, but is coupled to m<sub>1</sub> in the sample-receiving zone. (A'-m<sub>1</sub> could also be mixed with 15 sample prior to application.) When analyte is supplied to the sample-receiving zone, both analyte and A'-m<sub>1</sub> travel to the labeling zone where they compete for anti-analyte coupled to label. The analyte coupled to label is lost from the assay, since there is no mechanism for 20 its capture in the detecting portion of the capture zone. On the other hand, the competitor is captured, where the complex is: support/m<sub>2</sub>/m<sub>1</sub>/competitor/anti-analyte/label.

Figures 2G and 2H represent embodiments of the invention wherein a competitor to the analyte, unlabeled 25 A', acts as a gatekeeper in a portion of the capture zone which precedes the detecting portion. As shown in Figure 2G, the labeling zone contains anti-analyte coupled both to label and m<sub>1</sub>. When analyte is applied to the sample-receiving zone, it flows through the labeling zone 30 picking up anti-analyte coupled to label and to m<sub>1</sub>. As this complex traverses the gatekeeping portion occupied by A', region D<sup>1</sup>, A' displaces some of the analyte from anti-analyte. Thus, the A' contained in D<sup>1</sup> becomes labeled as shown in a complex which has the form:

- 17 -

support/competitor/anti-analyte/(label)/ $m_1$ . However, that portion of the anti-analyte that is picked up by analyte itself is captured in the detecting portion  $D^2$  with a complex of the form: support/ $m_2/m_1$ /anti-analyte/analyte/(label)/.

5 While the embodiment set forth in Figure 2G takes advantage of the  $m_1/m_2$  interaction to assist capture of the labeled analyte in the detecting portion, this mechanism is not necessary in all cases where the capture 10 rate is sufficient. Accordingly, in Figure 2H,  $D^2$  is supplied with a second anti-analyte. In this embodiment, when analyte is applied to the sample-receiving zone, it passes through the labeling zone which contains anti-analyte coupled to label and carries the label through to 15  $D^1$ . In  $D^1$ ,  $A'$ , the competitor, removes some of the anti-analyte coupled label from the analyte itself and captures it in  $D^1$ . Analyte which remains bound to label is captured in  $D^2$  as a sandwich of the form:  
support/anti-analyte<sub>2</sub>/analyte/anti-analyte<sub>1</sub>/label.

20 It is evident that the formats described in Figure 2G and 2H lend themselves to at least semiquantitative determination of analyte, since a comparison can be made between the competitor and the analyte label levels. It is also evident that  $D^1$  must be clearly demarcated from  $D^2$  25 so that there is no confusion about the origin of the label in either portion of the capture zone.

Another way to make the assay semiquantitative is simply to adjust the concentration of  $A'$  so that label will appear in the detecting portion,  $D^2$ , only when the 30 analyte concentration is above a certain level. The detection level can, of course, be readily adjusted by adjusting the level of  $A'$  in the gatekeeping portion,  $D^1$ .

- 18 -

The following examples are intended to illustrate but not to limit the invention.

Example 1

5        Assay for Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG)

This example illustrates the format shown in Figure 2A. The sample-receiving zone contains anti-hCG coupled with biotin. The labeling zone contains anti-hCG labeled with a dye complex enzyme conjugate of the type described 10 in WO94/01775. The capture zone contains streptavidin adsorbed to the capture zone through complexion with an appropriate protein. In general, goat  $\gamma$ -globulin, BSA or chicken  $\gamma$ -globulin can be used to aid in the adsorption of streptavidin to the capture zone. The 15 results show that streptavidin used alone in the capture zone is less effective than streptavidin complexed with protein.

The supporting device/test strip used is illustrated in Figure 1. Table 1 shows the various combinations, 20 described in more detail below, and the observed results. Results are shown with respect to visible label at 10 minutes using hCG as analyte at indicated concentrations (mIU/ml)

- 19 -

Table 1

Test Strip Components			Visual Call Assay Results (hCG) at 10 min.			
Sample-receiving zone	Labeling Zone	Detection Zone	0	25	100	400
biotin-goat anti-hCG	monoclonal anti-hCG dye complexed enzyme conjugate	StrAv-goat IgG StrAv-BSA StrAv-chicken IgG	- - -	++ ++ +	++ ++ +	NT NT NT
biotin-goat anti-hCG (F(ab) <sub>2</sub> )	"	StrAv-goat IgG StrAv-BSA StrAv-chicken IgG	- - -	++ ++ +	++ ++ +	NT NT NT
biotin-goat anti- $\alpha$ hCG	"	StrAv-goat IgG StrAv-BSA StrAv-chicken IgG	- - -	++ ++ +	++ ++ +	NT NT NT
biotin-rabbit anti-hCG	"	StrAv-goat IgG StrAv-BSA StrAv-chicken IgG	- - -	++ ++ +	++ ++ +	NT NT NT
biotin-rabbit anti- $\alpha$ hCG	"	StrAv-goat IgG StrAv-BSA StrAv-chicken IgG	- - -	++ ++ +	++ ++ +	NT NT NT
biotin-monoclonal anti-hCG	"	StrAv-goat IgG StrAv-BSA StrAv-chicken IgG	- - -	++ ++ +	++ ++ +	NT NT NT
biotin-monoclonal anti-hCG	StrAv-coated latex beads	rabbit anti-hCG	-	NT	NT	+
biotin-rabbit anti-hCG	Monoclonal anti-hCG coated latex beads	StrAv Av	-	NT	NT	+

NT = not tested

- 20 -

The following describes in more detail the construction of the assay strip with the various components shown in Table 1.

5    A. Monoclonal Antibodies

Monoclonal anti-hCG ascites fluid was fractionated at 0-4° by delipidation with sodium dextran sulfate and calcium chloride, followed by ammonium sulfate treatment at 50% salt saturation and desalting on a G25F (Pharmacia Biotech Inc., Piscataway, NJ) column into 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.0) followed by fractionation with Q-Sepharose FF (Pharmacia Biotech, Inc.) resin using a salt gradient of 0 to 0.3M sodium chloride in the same buffer. Fractionation was monitored at 280 nm, and the antibody peak was collected and buffer-exchanged on a G25F column into PBS (Phosphate-Buffer Saline, pH 7.4, Product #7011, Quidel Corporation, San Diego, CA). The resultant anti-hCG antibody was diluted in the same buffer to 1 mg/ml.

Monoclonal antibodies against FSH were purified by 20 and purchased from Oy Medix Biochemica AB, Kauniainen, Finland (clones 6602 and 6601).

Polyclonal Antibodies

25 Polyclonal anti-hCG rabbit serum was mixed with an equal volume of Protein A MAPS II Binding Buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) and applied onto Affi-Prep Protein A (Bio-Rad Laboratories) column equipped with a CF11 guard column. Following washing of unbound materials, the antibodies were eluted with Protein A MAPS 30 II Elution Buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and buffer-exchanged on a G25F column into PBS.

In order to obtain hCG-specific polyclonal antibodies, anti-hCG goat or rabbit serum was fractionated at 0-4°C by dilapidation with sodium dextran

- 21 -

sulfate and calcium chloride followed by ammonium sulfate precipitation at 50% salt saturation and desalted on a G25F column into PBS. To obtain antibodies specific for alpha subunit of hCG, the resultant antibodies were 5 applied onto affinity column containing alpha subunit of hCG covalently attached to Actigel ALD Superflow (Sterogene Bioseparations, Inc., Arcadia, CA) resin. The unbound species were washed with PBS, hCG alpha subunit-specific antibodies were eluted with 0.1 M glycine (pH 10 2.3), and buffer-exchanged on a G25F column into PBS. To obtain antibodies specific for whole (intact) hCG, the dilapidated and ammonium sulfate fractionated serum (prepared as described above) was applied onto affinity column containing whole (intact) hCG covalently attached 15 to CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia Biotech, Inc.) resin. The unbound species were washed with PBS, whole hCG-specific antibodies were eluted with 0.1 M glycine (pH 2.3) and buffer-exchanged on a G25F column into PBS.

20 Fragments

To obtain  $F(ab')_2$  fragment of goat antibody specific for whole hCG, the resultant antibody just described was digested with pepsin employing the reagents and procedure advised by UniSyn Technologies, Inc., Tustin, CA. The 25 resultant  $F(ab')_2$  fragments were buffer-exchanged into PBS using a G25F column.

B. Biotin Coupling

Purified antibodies or their fragments, obtained as 30 described in paragraph A at 1 mg/ml in PBS, were biotinylated for 3 hours at 25°C (ambient temperature) by adding 37  $\mu$ l of 10 mg/ml solution of NHS-LC-Biotin, Product #21335; Pierce, Rockford, IL) in anhydrous DMF.

- 22 -

Subsequently, the derivatized antibody was buffer-exchanged on a G25F column into PBS and stored at 0-4°C.

C. Preparation of Streptavidin-Carrier Protein

5 Conjugates

To prepare streptavidin-carrier protein conjugates (Scripps Laboratories, San Diego, CA; or, ProZyme, Inc., Richmond, CA) was dissolved at 6 mg/ml in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) derivatized by adding N-10 succinimidyl-3-2-pyridylthio) propionate (Pierce) dissolved at 3 mg/ml in anhydrous ethanol to a final concentration of 25 $\mu$ g/ml to 1 mg/ml, incubation of the reaction mixture for 45 minutes at 25°C, and buffer exchange on a G25F column into 0.1 M sodium acetate 15 buffer (pH 4.5). Thiol groups on streptavidin were released by adding 1 M dithiotreitol (Sigma Chemical co., St. Louis, MO) dissolved in the same buffer to a final concentration of 50nM, incubation of the reaction mixture for 30 minutes at 25°C, and buffer exchange on a G25F 20 column into 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0).

Maleimide groups were introduced into carrier goat IgG (Product #7102; Quidel Corporation), chicken IgY (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA) or BSA (bovine serum albumin, Sigma Chemical co.) at 25 5 mg/ml in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) by adding n-maleimidobenzoyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (Pierce) at 8 mg/ml in anhydrous DMF to a final concentration of 400  $\mu$ g/ml, incubation of the reaction mixture for 45 minutes at 25°C, and buffer exchange on a 30 G25F column into 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). The maleimide-containing carrier proteins and SH-derivatized streptavidin were allowed to react for 2

- 23 -

hours at 25°C followed by buffer exchange on a G25F column into 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.0).

D. Preparation of the Labeling Agents

5 To prepare antibody-enzyme conjugates, horseradish peroxidase (HRP Biozyme Laboratories International, Ltd., San Diego, CA) was prepared at 10 mg/ml in 0.1 M sodium phosphate (pH 8.0) containing 0.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, incubated at 25°C for 45 minutes with 2-iminothiolane 10 (Sigma) at a final concentration of 1.23 mg/ml, before being buffer-exchanged on a G25 column into 0.1 M sodium phosphate (pH 7.3).

The maleimide-containing monoclonal anti-hCG or anti-FSH (clone 6601, specific for the  $\alpha$ -subunit) 15 antibody and SH-derivatized enzyme were allowed to react for 2 hours at 25°C following by separation of the enzyme-antibody conjugate on a Sephacryl® S300 HR resin (Pharmacia Biotech, Inc.). A 0.45  $\mu$ m filtered conjugate elution buffer composed of 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer 20 (pH 7.0) containing 0.1% (w/v)  $\text{NaN}_3$ , 0.03% (w/v),  $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.003% (w/v)  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and 0.4% (w/v) Tween® 20 was used throughout the fractionalization. The fractionalization was monitored at 280 nm, and the antibody-enzyme conjugate fraction pooled. 25 Alternatively, unconjugated HRP was separated from antibody-enzyme conjugate using QAE resin. Subsequently, the antibody-enzyme conjugates were buffer-exchanged on a G25 column into the 0.05 M Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.5), supplemented with 50  $\mu$ g gentamicin/ml.

30 Pre-dyed label complex containing antibody-HRP conjugate was prepared in a 2016  $\mu$ l incubation reaction at 25°C by a sequential addition to 360  $\mu$ l of 0.05 M Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50  $\mu$ l of 3 mg of 4 chloro-1-

- 24 -

naphthol per ml methanol of the following: (a) 54  $\mu$ l of 0.05 M Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50  $\mu$ gentamicin/ml; (b) 1548  $\mu$ l of 0.05 M Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 0.22% (v/w)  $H_2O_2$ , 0.1 mM EDTA and 50  $\mu$ g 5 gentamicin/ml; and finally to initiate the complex formation; (c) 54  $\mu$ l of 0.27 mg anti-hCG antibody/HRP conjugate in 0.05M Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50  $\mu$ g gentamicin/ml. After 15 minutes incubation, the following reagents (chilled to 4°C) were added: (a) 125 10  $\mu$ l of 0.05 M Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50  $\mu$ g gentamicin/ml; (b) 126  $\mu$ l of 0.5 M Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 0.02% (v/v)  $H_2O_2$ , 0.1 mM EDTA and 50  $\mu$ g gentamicin/ml; and (c) 252  $\mu$ l of 100 mg mBSA in 0.05 Tris/HCl buffer (pH 8.0).  
15 The mixture was poured onto Sontara spunlace fabric at 38  $\mu$ l/cm<sup>2</sup>. The matrix was kept at room temperature for 20 minutes and abruptly frozen at -70°C, along with the lyophilization flask for at least an hour. The resulting composition was lyophilization overnight on Virtis 20 lyophilizer and the intermediate pre-dyed labeling pads were cut into 10x3 mm rectangles with the spunlace fibers parallel to the longer side of the paid.

Colored Latex Labeling Agent

25 "Test" beads for labeling analyte, containing monoclonal anti-hCG, were prepared as follows:

One-half (0.5) ml of 0.45 $\mu$  red latex beads (Bang Laboratories, Inc., Carmel, IN) were washed twice with 1 ml of 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.0) by sonicating for 10 30 minutes and recovered by microcentrifugation for 3 minutes. To the pelleted beads was added 05 ml of coupling solution, in this case consisting of 0.8 mg/ml monoclonal anti-hCG and 0.2 mg/ml methylated BSA in the

- 25 -

same buffer. The pellet was resuspended and sonicated for 10 minutes, and then rotated overnight at room temperature. After centrifugation for 3 minutes, the supernatant was aspirated and the bead pellet was 5 resuspended in 05 ml of the 10 mg/ml methylated BSA and rotated end-over-end for 4 hours at room temperature. After centrifugation and removal of the supernatant, the pellet is washed three times with bead storage solution as described above, and the final bead preparation was at 10 a concentration of 1% solids.

"Test" beads for labeling analyte with streptavidin or avidin (Scripps Laboratories) were prepared in the same manner.

Finally, to prepare the labeling zone, the test 15 beads were diluted into methylated BSA at a concentration of 0.05% solids. The resultant mixture was stirred and poured onto a Sontara 0-100 DuPont spunlace fabric membrane at 47.6  $\mu$ l/cm<sup>2</sup>. The labeled pad was then kept at room temperature for 5 minutes and frozen at -70°C, along 20 with the lyophilizing flask for at least an hour. The resulting membranes were lyophilized overnight on Virtis lyophilizer. The label-containing pads were then cut into 10x7.5 mm rectangles with the spunlace fibers parallel to the longer side of the pad.

25

#### Assay

The test strips were assembled as shown in Figure 1 and the sample to be tested applied to the sample-receiving zone. After ten minutes, the presence or 30 absence of analyte was detected visually on the test bar in the capture zone. The results are shown in Table 1 above for various combinations of label and capture reagents.

- 26 -

Example 2  
Assay for FSH

Using an approach similar to that set forth in Example 1, FSH was measured at various concentrations 5 visually using Gretag Density Units (GDU) and compared to a reference strip in the capture zone containing goat anti-mouse IgG (Quidel Product #7362) at 0.5-1.0 mg/ml PBS, which captures the HRP/monoclonal conjugate contained in the labeling pad. The sample, containing 0-10 60 mIU/ml of FSH is applied to the sample pad made of New Merge Sontara which was treated with 39  $\mu$ l/cm<sup>2</sup> of 10 mg/ml methylated-BSA and then with biotinylated monoclonal anti  $\beta$ -FSH subunits (clone 6602) and spotted at 80 ng/cm<sup>2</sup> in PBS followed by lyophilization.

15 The sample flows through a label pad which contains lyophilized predyed label complex containing antibody (clone 6601, specific for the  $\alpha$ -FSH subunit) coupled to HRP. The capture zone, which is nitrocellulose, contains a test line of streptavidin-IgG spotted at 0.25 mg/ml in 20 PBS, and a reference line of goat anti-mouse IgG at 0.4 mg/ml in PBS. The capture zone is then blocked with 10 mg/ml methylated BSA containing trehalose. The strip is supplied with a standard absorbent for an assay time of 10 minutes.

25 The results are plotted in GDU as a function of FSH concentration as shown in Figure 3. As analyte concentration increases, signal intensity of the test line increases and the resulting signal intensity of the reference line decreases. Signal crossover occurs at the 30 cut-off level of 40 mIU.

- 27 -

Claims

1. A method to determine the presence, absence or  
5 amount of an analyte in a sample in a one-step lateral  
flow assay conducted on a test strip, said test strip  
comprising a sample-receiving zone, an optional labeling  
zone, and a capture zone, which method comprises:
  - 10 applying the sample to the sample-receiving zone,
  - allowing the sample to flow through the labeling  
zone, if present, into the capture zone, and
  - assessing the presence, absence or amount of label  
associated with analyte concentration in the capture  
zone,
- 15 wherein said analyte is complexed to an anti-analyte  
bound to the test strip in at least a portion of the  
capture zone through interaction of the members of a  
specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte  
interaction; and/or
- 20 analyte is complexed to an anti-analyte which anti-  
analyte is bound to a label through the interaction of  
members of a specific binding pair with specificity  
irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction.
- 25 2. The method of claim 1 wherein said anti-analyte  
is an antibody immunospecific for analyte or an  
immunologically reactive portion thereof.
- 30 3. The method of claim 1 wherein said sample-  
receiving zone contains a first anti-analyte coupled to a  
member of a first specific binding pair with specificity  
irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction, and

- 28 -

wherein either the capture zone contains, in at least a portion thereof, the counterpart member of said first specific binding pair, or

5 the labeling zone contains the label coupled to the counterpart member of said first specific binding pair.

4. The method of claim 3 wherein the labeling zone contains the label coupled to the counterpart member of said first specific binding pair and wherein the capture 10 zone contains, in a first portion thereof, a second anti-analyte coupled to a member of a second specific binding pair with specificity irrelevant to the analyte/anti-analyte interaction, and

15 a second portion of the capture zone contains the counterpart member of the second specific binding pair.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the labeling zone is absent, and wherein the sample-receiving zone optionally contains a labeled competitor to analyte.

20

6. The method of claim 3 wherein the capture zone contains, in at least a portion thereof, the counterpart member of said first specific binding pair and the labeling zone contains a second anti-analyte coupled to 25 label.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein said specific binding pair is avidin or streptavidin and biotin.

30

8. The method of claim 1 wherein the labeling zone contains anti-analyte coupled to label and a member of a specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction and said capture zone is separated into a first portion proximal to the labeling zone which

- 29 -

contains a competitor to the analyte and which contains in a second, separated, portion of the capture zone, distal to the labeling zone, a counterpart member of said pair.

5

9. The method of claim 1 wherein the sample-receiving zone contains a competitor of the analyte coupled to a member of a specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction and the capture zone 10 contains the counterpart member of said specific binding pair.

10. A method to determine the presence, absence or amount of an analyte in a sample in a one-step lateral 15 flow assay conducted on a test strip, said test strip comprising a sample-receiving zone, a labeling zone, and a capture zone which method comprises:

applying the sample to the sample-receiving zone, allowing the sample to flow through the labeling 20 zone into the capture zone, and assessing the presence, absence or amount of label associated with analyte concentration in the capture zone,

wherein said capture zone is divided into a 25 gatekeeping zone proximal to the sample-receiving zone to which is adsorbed a competitor of the analyte and a detecting zone distal to the sample-receiving zone, and wherein said labeling zone contains a first anti-analyte coupled to label.

30

11. The method of claim 10 wherein the first anti-analyte coupled to label in the labeling zone is further coupled to a member of a specific binding pair with specificity irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte

- 30 -

interaction and the detecting portion of the capture zone contains the counterpart member of said specific binding pair, whereby the competitor in the gatekeeping zone competes with analyte for labeled anti-analyte, and

5       whereby labeled anti-analyte complexed to analyte is captured in the detecting zone through interaction of the members of the specific binding pair, and competitor bound to labeled anti-analyte is retained in the gatekeeping portion of the capture zone.

10

12. The method of claim 10 wherein the detecting portion of the capture zone contains a second anti-analyte

15       whereby the competitor in the gatekeeping portion of the capture zone competes with analyte for the labeled anti-analyte from the labeling zone,

16       whereby labeled first anti-analyte complexed to analyte is captured in the detecting zone and

20       competitor bound to labeled anti-analyte is retained in the gatekeeping portion of the capture zone.

13. A test strip suitable for a lateral flow assay of an analyte, which test strip comprises a sample-receiving zone and a capture zone, and wherein said

25       sample-receiving zone contains a first anti-analyte coupled to a member of a first specific binding pair with specificity irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction.

30       14. The test strip of claim 13 wherein the capture zone contains, in at least a portion thereof, the counterpart member of said first specific binding pair.

- 31 -

15. The test strip of claim 13 which further contains a labeling zone.

16. The test strip of claim 15 wherein said 5 labeling zone contains a second anti-analyte coupled to label, or

the labeling zone contains label coupled to the counterpart member of said first specific binding pair.

10 17. The test strip of claim 15 wherein the capture zone contains, in at least a portion thereof, a second anti-analyte.

18. The test strip of claim 17 wherein said capture 15 zone contains, in a first portion thereof, a second anti-analyte coupled to a member of a second specific binding pair irrelevant to the analyte/anti-analyte interaction and in a second portion thereof, the counterpart member of said second specific binding pair.

20

19. The test strip of claim 13 which further contains in the sample-receiving zone a labeled competitor for the analyte.

25 20. A test strip suitable for a lateral flow assay, which test strip comprises a sample-receiving zone, a labeling zone and a capture zone wherein the sample-receiving zone contains a competitor to the analyte coupled with a member of a specific binding pair with 30 specificity irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction, the labeling zone contains anti-analyte coupled to label, and the capture zone contains the counterpart member of the specific binding pair.

- 32 -

21. A test strip suitable for a lateral flow assay, which test strip comprises a sample-receiving zone, a labeling zone and a capture zone, wherein said capture zone is separated into a gatekeeping zone proximal to the 5 sample-receiving zone and a detecting zone distal to the sample-receiving zone, wherein said gatekeeping zone contains a competitor to the analyte.

22. The test strip of claim 21 wherein the labeling 10 zone contains anti-analyte coupled both to label and to a member of a specific binding pair with specificity irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction and wherein the detecting portion of the capture zone contains the counterpart member of said specific binding 15 pair.

23. The test strip of claim 21 wherein the labeling zone contains a first anti-analyte coupled to label and the detecting portion of the capture zone contains a 20 second anti-analyte.

24. A complex which comprises a label coupled to a first anti-analyte, said first anti-analyte coupled to analyte which analyte is in turn complexed to a second 25 anti-analyte, said second anti-analyte coupled to a first member of a specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction, said first member complexed with the counterpart member of said pair.

30 25. A complex which comprises a first anti-analyte complexed with the analyte thereto, said analyte complexed to a second anti-analyte, said second anti-analyte coupled to a member of a specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction said first

- 33 -

member complexed with the counterpart member of said pair, wherein said counterpart member is coupled to a label.

5        26. A complex which comprises an analyte complexed to a first and second anti-analyte wherein said first anti-analyte is coupled to a member of a first specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction which member is complexed to its counterpart member, and said counterpart member is coupled to a label and wherein said second anti-analyte is coupled to a member of a second specific binding pair irrelevant to analyte/anti-analyte interaction which member is complexed to its counterpart member.

1 / 3




FIG. 1




FIG. 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

2 / 3




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 2C




FIG. 2D

3 / 3




FIG. 2E




FIG. 2F




FIG. 2G




FIG. 2H

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US96/12866

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G01N 33/558  
US CL : 422/56; 436/514

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 422/56, 58; 435/7.5, 7.91, 7.92, 7.93, 287.1, 287.2, 287.7, 287.9, 970; 436/169, 514, 518, 810

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US, A, 4,298,685 (PARIKH ET AL) 03 November 1981, see entire document.	1-26
A	US, A, 5,081,013 (ROVELLI ET AL) 14 January 1992, see entire document.	1-23
Y	US, A, 5,354,692 (YANG ET AL) 11 October 1994, see entire document.	1-23
A	US, A, 5,384,264 (CHEN ET AL) 24 January 1995, see entire document.	1-23
A	US, A, 5,260,194 (OLSON) 09 November 1993, see entire document.	1-23

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  See patent family annex.

Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A"		document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
"E"		earlier documents published on or after the international filing date
"L"		document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
"O"		document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
"P"		document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
"X"		document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"Y"		document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"Z"		document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
26 SEPTEMBER 1996	29 OCT 1996

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

CHRISTOPHER CHIN

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196